

February 3, 1976

PRESIDENT: Wonderful. How is the wife and children?

SENATOR SYAS: Fine.

PRESIDENT: Request unanimous consent to lay over until next Wednesday? Any objection? It is so ordered.
LB 565.

CLERK: LB 565, Mr. President, was initially read on January 9th. It came up again for consideration and it was bracketed to the 20th. Then on the 20th, it was bracketed until the 27th, Mr. President. The motion to reject the Standing Committee amendments to LB 565 carried on January 9 so we have the bill, then, before us as originally introduced with the Standing Committee amendments rejected. The bill, Mr. President, was introduced by the Urban Affairs Committee, Senator Fowler, Chairman.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler. Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Okay. The indication I have from Lancaster County is that they do not need this bill any more. However, Senator DeCamp indicated to me that he may wish to use it for some amendments.

PRESIDENT: For what?

SENATOR FOWLER: He may have some amendments he wanted. If...I would move that it be bracketed until March 31st unless Senator DeCamp has a motion he wants to take up now.

PRESIDENT: Yours is a unanimous request to bracket it until March 31? Is there an objection? March 31.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Make it March 15th and I will go along with it.

PRESIDENT: March 15. Any objection? It is so ordered that this bill, LB 565, is bracketed until March 15.
LB 649, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 649 was introduced by Senator Keyes and referred to the Banking Committee and reported to the floor. LB 649 - Read title. There are Committee amendments, Mr. President, Banking Committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: This bill is a bill that would provide the ability to extend the coverage on a specifically handicapped child beyond the current age limit when notice is given to the carrier and premium adjustment is made for that purpose. Right now, the age 23 would bar the handicapped child from being covered under a policy of this kind. When the bill was presented, the first amendment was to strike the first section of the bill which it was afraid would confuse the fact that it would apply only to an individual policy and they wanted it to apply to group policy. So the first amendment is to